

$2 \rightarrow n$ threshold production at tree level

Lowell S. Brown and Chengxing Zhai

Department of Physics, FM-15, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195

Abstract

The threshold behavior of a theory with two coupled scalar fields χ and ϕ is investigated. We compute the amplitude for two on-mass-shell χ -particles to produce an arbitrary number of ϕ -particles at rest in the tree approximation.

I. INTRODUCTION

The solution of the classical field equation in the presence of a source yields the generating functional for tree graphs. This feature of quantum field theory has recently been exploited to provide a very simple derivation [1] of the tree-level $1 \rightarrow n$ threshold production amplitudes, $\langle n|\phi|0\rangle$, that had been previously obtained by other means [2,3]. This method has been extended [4–7] to calculate the $2 \rightarrow n$ threshold production amplitude at the tree level for the $\lambda\phi^4$ theory by using this classical solution as a background field. The interesting result is that for $\lambda\phi^4$ theory with unbroken $\phi \rightarrow -\phi$ symmetry, the tree-level amplitude for $2 \rightarrow n$ threshold production vanishes for $n > 4$. For the spontaneous symmetry breaking case, this amplitude vanishes for $n > 2$. These null amplitude results depend upon the fact that in the pure $\lambda\phi^4$ theory a dimensionless factor takes on a special value.

In this article, we shall consider a more general case of the $2 \rightarrow n$ process in which the incoming particle is described by a χ -field that is not required to be the same as the final produced particles described by the ϕ -field. [8] Thus we shall study a coupled field system containing more parameters than in the ordinary $\lambda\phi^4$ theory, which enables the dimensionless factor mentioned above to take on arbitrary values. The amplitude for $2 \rightarrow n$ threshold production is, in general, not zero. This nonvanishing amplitude will be calculated explicitly, from which we will see that the dimensionless parameter does play a critical role in the vanishing of the amplitude for the pure $\lambda\phi^4$ theory. Our method to compute the $2 \rightarrow n$ threshold production amplitude is to first use the reduction formula to express the amplitude in terms of the Fourier transform of the two-point Green's function of the incoming χ -field, with the classical solution of the ϕ -field appearing as a background field. This Green's function can be regarded as the inverse of a differential operator which defines a quantum-mechanical scattering problem. Thus the desired Fourier transform of the Green's function is related to a scattering amplitude which can be obtained from the solution of the homogeneous Klein-Gordon equation. This solution is easily obtained in terms of a hypergeometric function, and identifying the corresponding scattering amplitude gives the

$2 \rightarrow n$ threshold production amplitude at the tree-level in terms of ratios of gamma functions. Our method simplifies and generalizes previous work [4–7], and along the way clarifies the technique introduced in [1].

II. GENERAL FORMULATION

Previous work dealt with the threshold production in tree approximation of many scalar particles for the theory described by the Lagrange function

$$\mathcal{L}_\phi = -\frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2 - \frac{1}{4!}\lambda\phi^4 + \rho\phi. \quad (2.1)$$

An external source $\rho(x)$ is introduced in order to generate the multiparticle amplitudes. Our purpose here is to generalize this previous work by introducing an additional scalar field χ of mass M which is coupled to the scalar field ϕ . We shall compute the amplitude for two high-energy χ -particles (on their mass shell) to produce many on-mass-shell ϕ -particles at rest. We take the Lagrange function for the coupled field system to be given by

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2}(\partial\chi)^2 - \frac{1}{2}M^2\chi^2 - \frac{1}{6}a(a-1)\frac{1}{4}\lambda\chi^2\phi^2 + \mathcal{L}_\phi. \quad (2.2)$$

As will be seen, it proves convenient to write the $\chi^2\phi^2$ coupling parameter in the way in which we have done here. In particular, when $a = 3$, the χ -field describes the small fluctuations about the classical field ϕ produced by the source ρ , and in this limit the amplitude which we shall compute reduces to the $2\phi \rightarrow \text{many } \phi$ threshold amplitude in tree approximation.

The $2\chi \rightarrow \text{many } \phi$ amplitude in tree approximation may be obtained from the generating functional

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 0 + | p p' - \rangle^\rho &= i(p^2 + M^2) \int (d^4x) e^{ipx} (p'^2 + M^2) \int (d^4x') e^{ip'x'} \\ &\quad \times \langle 0 + | iT\chi(x)\chi(x') | 0 - \rangle^{\phi_{\text{cl}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Here the reduction method has been used to construct the initial two χ -particle state with the four-momenta p and p' . The mass-shell limits $-p^2 \rightarrow M^2$ and $-p'^2 \rightarrow M^2$ are to be taken once the corresponding poles in the Green's function

$$G(x, x') = \langle 0 + |i\mathcal{T}\chi(x)\chi(x')|0-\rangle^{\phi_{\text{cl}}} \quad (2.4)$$

have been displayed. In the tree approximation, the source ρ produces a classical field ϕ_{cl} under which the χ -field propagates. A final application of the reduction method yields the n ϕ -particle threshold production amplitude A_n ,

$$\begin{aligned} & i(2\pi)^4 \delta(nm - E - E') \delta(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}') A_n \\ &= \prod_{a=1}^n \int (d^4 x_a) e^{i\omega t_a} (m^2 - \omega^2) \frac{\delta}{\delta \rho(x_a)} \langle 0 + |p p' - \rangle^\rho \Big|_{\rho=0}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

since each functional derivative with respect to the source $\rho(x)$ inserts a time-ordered factor of $i\phi(x)$ into the matrix element. After the appropriate poles have been identified, the mass-shell limit $\omega \rightarrow m$ is to be taken.

The construction

$$\mathcal{A}(z_0) = \exp \left\{ z_0 \int (d^4 x) e^{i\omega t} (m^2 - \omega^2) \frac{\delta}{\delta \rho(x)} \right\} \langle 0 + |p p' - \rangle^\rho \Big|_{\rho=0} \quad (2.6)$$

generates the threshold amplitudes by ordinary differentiation with respect to the parameter z_0 . The exponential operation which appears here is just the functional Taylor series expansion which has the effect of the source replacement

$$\rho(x) \rightarrow \rho_0(t) = z_0(m^2 - \omega^2)e^{i\omega t}. \quad (2.7)$$

Thus the generating function (not functional) $\mathcal{A}(z_0)$ is given by making this source replacement in the original generating functional,

$$\mathcal{A}(z_0) = \langle +0 | p p' - \rangle^{\rho_0}. \quad (2.8)$$

In the tree approximation, a source driven amplitude is a functional of the classical field, and thus

$$\langle 0 + | p p' - \rangle^\rho = \mathcal{F}[\phi_{\text{cl}}], \quad (2.9)$$

where

$$(-\partial^2 + m^2)\phi_{\text{cl}} + \frac{1}{3!}\lambda\phi_{\text{cl}}^3 = \rho. \quad (2.10)$$

To see the consequence of the source replacement (2.7), we note that in the $\lambda = 0$, free-field limit, the solution of the classical field equation (2.10) becomes

$$\phi_{\text{cl}} \rightarrow z(t) = z_0 e^{i\omega t}. \quad (2.11)$$

In view of the structure of the classical field equation (2.10), the high-order terms in the coupling expansion of the field ϕ_{cl} are driven by $z(t)$. Thus, the mass-shell limit $\omega \rightarrow m$ may now be taken with ϕ_{cl} obeying the homogeneous, ordinary differential equation

$$\left[\frac{d^2}{dt^2} + m^2 \right] \phi_{\text{cl}}(t) + \frac{1}{3!}\lambda\phi_{\text{cl}}^3(t) = 0, \quad (2.12)$$

subject to the conditions that $\phi_{\text{cl}}(t)$ reduce to $z(t)$ when $\lambda = 0$ and that the solution be analytic in $z(t)$ for small $z(t)$.

To obtain the many-particle amplitude with two initial χ -particles, we note that the Green's function defined by Eq. (2.4) obeys, in a compact, operator-like notation,

$$\left[G_0^{-1} - V \right] G = 1, \quad (2.13)$$

where

$$G_0^{-1} = -\partial^2 + m^2, \quad (2.14)$$

and

$$-V = \frac{1}{6}a(a-1)\frac{1}{2}\lambda\phi_{\text{cl}}^2(t). \quad (2.15)$$

The Green's function equation (2.13) has the formal solution

$$G = \frac{1}{1 - G_0 V} G_0, \quad (2.16)$$

which, by simple operator algebra, may be arranged to read as

$$G = G_0 + G_0 T G_0, \quad (2.17)$$

where

$$T = V \frac{1}{1 - G_0 V} . \quad (2.18)$$

The first term on the right-hand side of Eq. (2.17) describes the free propagation of the χ -field, and it may be omitted. When Fourier transformed, the G_0 factors which flank T in the second term are simple poles that cancel the $(p^2 + M^2)$ and $(p'^2 + M^2)$ factors in Eq. (2.3). Thus we may now go on mass shell and write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(z_0) &= \langle 0 + |p p' - \rangle^{\rho_0} = i \int (d^4 x) \int (d^4 x') e^{ip' x'} T(x', x) e^{ipx} \\ &= iT(-p', p) . \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

Expanding this amplitude in powers of z_0 yields the desired multiparticle production amplitudes:

$$\mathcal{A}(z_0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} i (2\pi)^4 \delta(nm - E - E') \delta(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}') A_n \frac{z_0^n}{n!} . \quad (2.20)$$

As is usual in quantum-mechanical scattering theory, it is easier to extract the “scattering amplitude” T from the solution ψ of the homogeneous wave equation

$$\left[G_0^{-1} - V \right] \psi = 0 , \quad (2.21)$$

rather than dealing directly with the Green’s function G . The wave equation may be written as an integral equation,

$$\psi = \psi_0 + G_0 V \psi , \quad (2.22)$$

with ψ_0 being a free solution,

$$G_0^{-1} \psi_0 = 0 . \quad (2.23)$$

Iteration of the integral equation produces the formal solution

$$\begin{aligned} \psi &= \psi_0 + G_0 V \frac{1}{1 - G_0 V} \psi_0 \\ &= \psi_0 + G_0 T \psi_0 . \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

Thus we solve the wave equation (2.21) subject to the conditions that ψ reduces to $\psi_0 = e^{ipx}$ in the absence of interaction and that ψ be analytic in $z(t)$ for small $z(t)$. Then, in view of the structure of the formal solution (2.24), ψ will have a pole corresponding to $-p'^2 = M^2$ as shown by the G_0 factor on the right-hand side of Eq. (2.24), and the residue of this pole will define the amplitude $T(-p', p)$. The details of this procedure will be made clear in the examples which we now turn to work out.

III. UNBROKEN SYMMETRY

With $m^2 > 0$, the reflection symmetry $\phi \rightarrow -\phi$ is not broken, and the proper solution of the differential equation (2.12) for the classical field is given by [1]

$$\phi_{\text{cl}}(t) = \frac{z(t)}{1 - (\lambda/48m^2)z(t)^2}, \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$z(t) = z_0 e^{imt}. \quad (3.2)$$

This classical field appears in a “potential-like” term in the wave equation for ψ ,

$$\left[-\partial^2 + M^2 + \frac{1}{6}a(a-1)\frac{1}{2}\lambda\phi_{\text{cl}}^2(t) \right] \psi = 0. \quad (3.3)$$

This wave equation is spatially uniform and depends upon the time only through the variable $z(t)$. Hence its solution may be expressed as

$$\psi(x) = e^{ipx} F_1(z(t)). \quad (3.4)$$

The conditions that $\psi \rightarrow \psi_0 = e^{ipx}$ in the absence of interaction and that ψ be analytic in $z(t)$ for small $z(t)$ require that $F_1(0) = 1$ and that $F_1(z)$ has a convergent power series development for small z . It is, however, simpler to work with the new variable

$$y(t) = -(\lambda/48m^2)z(t)\phi_{\text{cl}}(t), \quad (3.5)$$

because of the relations

$$\frac{d}{dt}y(t) = 2im y(t) [1 - y(t)] , \quad (3.6)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2}\lambda\phi_{\text{cl}}^2 = -24m^2 y(t) [1 - y(t)] . \quad (3.7)$$

On writing

$$\psi = e^{ipx} F_2(y(t)) \quad (3.8)$$

in the wave equation (3.3) and using these relations, a simple calculation yields

$$y(1-y)F_2''(y) + [1 - (E/m) - 2y] F_2'(y) - a(1-a)F_2(y) = 0 , \quad (3.9)$$

where the prime denotes the derivative. This is the hypergeometric differential equation and thus the proper solution is given by the hypergeometric function,

$$\begin{aligned} F_2(y(t)) &= {}_2F_1(a, 1-a; 1-E/m; y(t)) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a+k)}{\Gamma(a)} \frac{\Gamma(1-a+k)}{\Gamma(1-a)} \frac{\Gamma(1-E/m)}{\Gamma(1-E/m+k)} \frac{y(t)^k}{k!} . \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

To extract the threshold production amplitude A_n from this solution, we recall from Eq. (2.20) that the amplitude A_n appears as a coefficient of the term involving

$$z(t)^n = z_0^n e^{inmt} . \quad (3.11)$$

Moreover, in view of the structure of Eq. (2.24), this term involves the pole structure

$$\begin{aligned} G_0 e^{ipx} z(t)^n &= \frac{e^{ipx} z(t)^n}{E^2 - (nm - E)^2} \\ &= \frac{e^{ipx} z(t)^n}{nm(2E - nm)} . \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

Finally, we note that the Fourier transform involving p' in Eq. (2.19) produces a factor of $(2\pi)^4 \delta(nm - E - E') \delta(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}')$. From these remarks, we conclude that $A_n/(nm n!)$ is the coefficient of the term which contains $z(t)^n (2E - nm)^{-1}$ in the hypergeometric function (3.10).

To obtain this term, we note that on the one hand,

$$y(t)^k = (-\lambda/48m^2)^k z(t)^{2k} + \dots, \quad (3.13)$$

where the ellipsis stands for higher powers of $z(t)$, while, on the other hand, the third gamma function ratio in the k -th term in the hypergeometric function (3.10) may be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Gamma(1 - E/m)}{\Gamma(1 - E/m + k)} &= \frac{(-1)^k}{(k-1)!} \frac{1}{(E/m) - k} + \dots \\ &= 2km \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \frac{1}{2E - 2km} + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

where the ellipses now stand for pole terms at lower energies, $E = (2k-2)m$, $E = (2k-4)m$, $\dots$, and possible regular terms. We find that the required pole structure¹, namely a pole at $2E = nm$, fits the required frequency dependence, $z(t)^n \sim \exp\{inmt\}$, only for the leading terms displayed in Eqs. (3.14) and (3.13) with $n = 2k$. The identification of the threshold production amplitude A_n in tree approximation is straightforward:

$$A_{2k} = \frac{\Gamma(a+k)}{\Gamma(a)} \frac{\Gamma(1-a+k)}{\Gamma(1-a)} (2km)^2 (2k)! \left(\frac{1}{k!}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\lambda}{48m^2}\right)^k. \quad (3.15)$$

For general, non-integral, values of the coupling parameter a , the threshold production amplitude for large number of particles, $2k \gg 1$, has the factorial growth,

$$A_{2k} \approx k (2k)! \frac{(2m)^2}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(1-a)} \left(\frac{\lambda}{48m^2}\right)^k. \quad (3.16)$$

However, if $a = N$ is an integer, the presence of the factor

$$\frac{\Gamma(1 - N + k)}{\Gamma(1 - N)} = (1 - N)(2 - N) \dots (k - N) \quad (3.17)$$

causes the amplitude for the production of $2k = 2N$ or more particles at rest to vanish. In particular, the case where $a = 3$ gives the amplitude for two initial on-mass-shell ϕ -particles

¹When one of the initial χ -particles is on mass shell but the other is off mass shell, the tree structure of the final state has poles corresponding to tree branches whose propagators go on the ϕ -particle mass shell. This accounts for the other poles which appear in the hypergeometric function (3.10).

to produce $2k$ ϕ -particles at rest. In this case, the threshold amplitude vanishes except for the production of $2k = 2$ or $2k = 4$ particles. These results on vanishing threshold amplitudes were previously found by Voloshin [4–6].

IV. BROKEN SYMMETRY

Spontaneously symmetry breakdown occurs when the sign of the mass term in the ϕ -field Lagrange function is reversed, $m^2 \rightarrow -m^2$. In this case, the solution to the classical field equation (2.10) describes the fluctuations about the shifted vacuum, constant field solution of Eq. (2.10),

$$\phi_{\text{cl}} \rightarrow \phi_0 = \sqrt{\frac{3!m^2}{\lambda}}. \quad (4.1)$$

This shift alters the mass in the field equation to $m_1 = \sqrt{2}m$, and its proper solution now reads [1]

$$\phi_{\text{cl}}(t) = \phi_0 + \sigma(t), \quad (4.2)$$

where

$$\sigma(t) = \frac{z(t)}{1 - z(t)/2\phi_0}, \quad (4.3)$$

with

$$z(t) = z_0 e^{im_1 t}. \quad (4.4)$$

The wave equation (3.3) now becomes

$$\left\{ -\partial^2 + M_1^2 + \frac{1}{6}a(a-1)\frac{1}{2}\lambda [\phi_{\text{cl}}(t)^2 - \phi_0^2] \right\} \psi(x) = 0, \quad (4.5)$$

where $M_1^2 = M^2 + a(a-1)m^2/2$ denotes the new mass for the χ -field which has been shifted in the spontaneously symmetry breakdown. In parallel with our previous work, we write

$$\psi(x) = e^{ipx} F_2(y(t)), \quad (4.6)$$

where now

$$y(t) = -\sigma(t)/2\phi_0. \quad (4.7)$$

This is the appropriate variable because

$$\frac{d}{dt}y(t) = im_1 y(t)[1 - y(t)] \quad (4.8)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2}\lambda [\phi_{\text{cl}}(t)^2 - \phi_0^2] = -6m_1^2 y(t)[1 - y(t)]. \quad (4.9)$$

Thus we again find that $F_2(y)$ obeys a hypergeometric differential equation

$$y(1-y)F_2''(y) + [1 - (2E/m_1) - 2y]F_2'(y) - a(1-a)F_2(y) = 0. \quad (4.10)$$

The appropriate solution is

$$F_2(y(t)) = {}_2F_1(a, 1-a; 1-2E/m_1; y(t)), \quad (4.11)$$

since it satisfies the conditions that $F_2(0) = 1$ and $F_2(y)$ be analytic at $y = 0$.

Using this solution as in the previous section, we can extract the amplitude for the 2χ to $n\phi$ process by looking at the terms containing both z_0^n and the pole at $E = nm_1/2$. Expanding $F_2(y)$ in powers of y ,

$$F_2(y) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a+k)}{\Gamma(a)} \frac{\Gamma(1-a+k)}{\Gamma(1-a)} \frac{\Gamma(1-2E/m_1)}{\Gamma(1-2E/m_1+k)} \frac{y^k}{k!}, \quad (4.12)$$

noting that the coefficient

$$\frac{\Gamma(1-2E/m_1)}{\Gamma(1-2E/m_1+k)} \quad (4.13)$$

in the y^k term contains simple poles at $E = lm_1/2$ with $l = 1, 2, \dots, k$, and noting that y^k has power expansion in z_0 starting from z_0^k , determines that only the term containing y^n contributes to A_n . Following steps similar to those in previous section to get the correct normalization factor, we find that the amplitude is given by

$$A_n = \frac{\Gamma(a+n)}{\Gamma(a)} \frac{\Gamma(1-a+n)}{\Gamma(1-a)} (n m_1)^2 \frac{1}{n!} \left(\frac{1}{2\phi_0} \right)^n. \quad (4.14)$$

When the parameter a takes non-integral values, the threshold amplitude at tree-level for producing a large number of final particles, $n \gg 1$, has the behavior

$$A_n \approx n n! \frac{m_1^2}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(1-a)} \left(\frac{\lambda}{12m_1^2} \right)^{n/2}. \quad (4.15)$$

However, when the parameter a takes an integer value N , the factor

$$\frac{\Gamma(1-a+n)}{\Gamma(1-a)} = \frac{\Gamma(1-N+n)}{\Gamma(1-N)} = (1-N)(2-N) \cdots (n-N) \quad (4.16)$$

vanishes for $n \geq N$, and thus the amplitude A_n vanishes for $n \geq N$. In particular, for $a = 3$, A_n vanishes for $n > 2$, which is the result of Smith [7].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We should like to thank P. Arnold, M. B. Voloshin, and L. G. Yaffe for providing beneficial discussions. The work was supported, in part, by the U. S. Department of Energy under grant DE-AS06-88ER40423.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. S. Brown, Phys. Rev. D **46**, R4125 (1992).
- [2] M. B. Voloshin, Nucl. Phys. **B 383**, 233 (1992).
- [3] E. N. Argyres, R. H. P. Kleiss, and C. G. Papadopoulos, *Amplitude estimates for multi-Higgs production at high energies*, CERN preprint CERN-TH. 6469, May 1992.
- [4] M. B. Voloshin, *Summing one-loop graphs at multi-particle threshold*, Minnesota preprint TPI-MINN-92/45-T, Sep. 1992, submitted to Phys. Rev. D.
- [5] M. B. Voloshin, *Comment on “Summing one-loop graphs at multi-particle threshold”*, Minnesota preprint TPI-MINN-92/46-T, Sep. 1992, submitted to Phys. Rev. D.
- [6] M. B. Voloshin, *Zeros of tree-level amplitudes at multi-boson thresholds*, Minnesota preprint TPI-MINN-92/56-T, Oct. 1992, submitted to Phys. Rev. D.
- [7] B. H. Smith, *Summing one-loop graphs in a theory with broken symmetry*, Minnesota preprint TPI-MINN-92/50-T, Sep. 1992, submitted to Phys. Rev. D.
- [8] Related work appears in E. N. Argyres, R. H. P. Kleiss, and C. G. Papadopoulos, *Multiscalar production amplitudes beyond threshold*, CERN preprint CERN-TH. 6714, Oct. 1992.